

Virial Theorem for Confined Universal Fermi Gases

J. E. Thomas

Physics Department, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina 27708-0305

(Dated: March 11, 2008)

Optically-trapped two-component Fermi gases near a broad Feshbach resonance exhibit universal thermodynamics, where the properties of the gas are independent of the details of the two-body scattering interactions. We present a global proof that such a universal gas obeys the virial theorem for *any* trapping potential U and any spin mixture, without assuming either the local density approximation or harmonic confinement. The total energy of the gas is given in scale invariant form by $E = \langle \epsilon \partial U / \partial \epsilon \rangle$, where ϵ is an *arbitrary* energy scale in terms of which all length and energy scales that appear in the confining potential are written. This result enables model-independent energy measurement in traps that are anharmonic as well as anisotropic by observing only the cloud profile, and provides a consistency check for many-body calculations in the universal regime.

PACS numbers: 03.75.Ss, 32.80.Pj

Strongly-interacting Fermi systems, such as neutron matter and resonantly interacting atomic Fermi gases, can exhibit universal behavior. These systems satisfy the unitary condition, where the zero energy scattering length a greatly exceeds the interparticle spacing, while the range of the scattering potential is negligible [1, 2, 3]. Unitary conditions are produced in an optically trapped Fermi gas [4], by using a magnetic field to tune near a broad Feshbach resonance [5, 6, 7], where strong interactions are observed [8]. According to the universal hypothesis, unitary systems must exhibit universal thermodynamics [1, 2, 3, 8, 9, 10, 11], since the interparticle spacing sets the only natural length scale at zero temperature, so that the local properties can be written generally as functions of the density and temperature. As the properties of the system are independent of the details of the interactions, atomic gases can be used to test predictions for unitary systems in fields well outside atomic physics. Recent model-independent studies of the entropy versus energy of a unitary Fermi gas [12] have been used to demonstrate universal behavior [13]. Model-independent energy measurement is based on the virial theorem, which holds for a trapped unitary Fermi gas [14].

We have demonstrated both theoretically and experimentally that the virial theorem holds for a trapped Fermi gas in the universal regime at a broad Feshbach resonance [14]. The proof is based on the local density approximation (LDA) with a local pressure $P = 2\mathcal{E}/3$, which holds for a universal gas [10]. Here, $\mathcal{E}$ is the local energy density (kinetic and interaction energies). Using the balance between the trapping and pressure forces, one easily obtains the total energy per particle E , which comprises the kinetic energy, interaction energy, and trap potential energy,

$$E = \left\langle U + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{x} \cdot \nabla U \right\rangle, \quad (1)$$

where U is the trapping potential. A scalar pressure requires that $\langle x \partial U / \partial x \rangle = \langle y \partial U / \partial y \rangle = \langle z \partial U / \partial z \rangle$. In an

anisotropic harmonic trap, this leads to equal energies in all directions and $E = 3m\omega_z^2 \langle z^2 \rangle$ [14], where m is the atom mass and ω_z is the harmonic oscillator frequency for the z direction, which is precisely measurable. In practice, the long axial direction of the cloud is most easily imaged [12]. Within the LDA, Eq. 1 is readily modified to include corrections arising from anharmonicity in the trapping potential [12]. Amazingly, at resonance, a complex many-body Fermi gas, generally containing condensed (superfluid) atom pairs, noncondensed pairs, and unpaired atoms, all strongly interacting in the nonperturbative regime, obeys the same virial theorem as an ideal, noninteracting gas.

Unfortunately, the local density approximation can break down in several cases of importance, such as quantum confined systems. In standing wave optical traps, for example, the harmonic oscillator frequency for vibrations along the standing wave direction z can be so large that the axial energy scale $\hbar\omega_z$ is large compared to the energy in the transverse x - y directions. In spin-imbalanced mixtures, phase separation occurs and a surface tension exists [15, 16]. At low temperatures, the cloud separates into a superfluid core containing equal densities of both spins and a region of excess noninteracting spins outside the core. The shape of the central core is affected by the unpaired spins, and the pressure is not necessarily a function of the temperature and local density, since the spin fractions vary rapidly in space. The axial dimension is therefore not necessarily equivalent to the transverse dimensions of the trap. To avoid the local density approximation, a global proof of the virial theorem is required.

A global proof of the virial theorem for a universal gas has been provided by Werner and Castin [17], who note that the proof also holds for anisotropic traps, a result due to Chevy [17]. Recently, an extremely simple global proof of the virial theorem for universal gases has been given by D. T. Son, based on the finite temperature Hellman-Feynman theorem [18]. This proof assumes harmonic confinement, and is obviously valid for anisotropic

harmonic traps and for spin-imbalanced mixtures. However, it does not allow for anharmonic trapping potentials. Tan has derived both the pressure and the virial theorem for a strongly interacting Fermi gas with a finite scattering length in a generalized confining potential [19]. The results show that the correction is $\propto 1/a$, as confirmed by Braaten and Platter [20], who obtain the same result as Tan by using the Hellman-Feynman theorem. One expects that the virial theorem also will hold for a universal gas with three body resonances, as discussed recently by Nishida, Son, and Tan [21].

We now provide a simple global proof of the virial theorem in the universal regime valid for any spin mixture and *any* trapping potential. The result enables a general method for precisely measuring the total energy of a universal gas in anharmonic trapping potentials. Our proof follows closely the method employed by Son [18], and yields a general, global, scale-invariant relation between the energy and the trapping potential, without assuming harmonic confinement. This permits the determination of small corrections for anharmonicity in the trapping potential, which is essential for precision measurements of the energy.

First, consider a Hamiltonian that is a function of a parameter λ , i.e., $H(\lambda)$. Then, the expectation value of $H(\lambda)$ with the n^{th} eigenstate yields $\langle n, \lambda | H(\lambda) | n, \lambda \rangle = E_n(\lambda)$, where $E_n(\lambda)$ is the eigenvalue. Taking the derivative of this equation with respect to λ and noting that the states remain normalized as λ varies yields the Hellman-Feynman theorem for one eigenstate, $\partial E_n(\lambda)/\partial \lambda = \langle n, \lambda | \partial H(\lambda)/\partial \lambda | n, \lambda \rangle$.

We assume that the evaporation rate from a trap of finite depth is sufficiently slow that the system is in quasi equilibrium, so that the probability for each many-body quantum state is determined by a Boltzmann factor for a fixed total number of atoms N . Then, following Son [18], we consider the free energy, $F = -k_B T \ln Z$, where Z is the partition function, $Z = \sum_n \exp[-\beta E_n(\lambda)]$, and $\beta = 1/(k_B T)$. Differentiating F with respect to λ and using the Hellman-Feynman result for each eigenstate easily yields the finite temperature Hellman-Feynman theorem for any parameter λ ,

$$\frac{\partial F(\lambda)}{\partial \lambda} = \left\langle \frac{\partial H(\lambda)}{\partial \lambda} \right\rangle. \quad (2)$$

The Hamiltonian H generally comprises the total kinetic energy operator, which introduces the parameter $\hbar^2/m$ with m the atom mass, the interaction potential, which introduces the s-wave scattering length, and the trapping potential energy $U = \sum_i U_{\text{trap}}(\mathbf{r}_i)$ with $\mathbf{r}_i$ the position of the i^{th} atom. In the universal regime, the details of the resonant two-body interaction potential cannot appear in the properties of the gas: Compared to the interparticle spacing, the zero-energy s-wave scattering length a for opposite spin atoms is infinite, and the

range R of the potential is zero. The corresponding energy scales are $\hbar^2/(ma^2)$ and $\hbar^2/(mR^2)$, which are zero and infinity, respectively. For identical spin atoms, the scattering length is zero. Hence, for both resonantly interacting and noninteracting atoms, *only* the trapping potential U introduces natural energy scales.

We choose *one* arbitrary energy scale $\equiv \epsilon$, for example, 1 joule, and write all other energy scales ϵ_i that appear in the trapping potential in terms of ϵ , i.e., $\epsilon_i = \alpha_i \epsilon$, as described further below. Now, let $\lambda = \epsilon$ in Eq. 2, and note that $\langle \partial H/\partial \epsilon \rangle = \langle \partial U/\partial \epsilon \rangle$, since only the trap potential can contain the energy scale ϵ . Then, $\partial F(\epsilon)/\partial \epsilon = \langle \partial U/\partial \epsilon \rangle$.

In the universal regime, the free energy F can be written in terms of the chosen energy scale, and it must be of the form $F = \epsilon f(k_B T/\epsilon)$, where f is a dimensionless function of the temperature T . Note that we suppress all other variables, such as the total atom number N , the spin fractions, N_1/N , the α_i etc, which are held constant. Differentiating F with respect to T , we obtain the entropy $S = -\partial F/\partial T = -k_B f'(k_B T/\epsilon)$, where f' denotes the derivative of f with respect to its argument. Then, $\epsilon \partial F/\partial \epsilon = F - k_B T f' = F + TS = NE$, where E is the total energy per particle. Hence, we obtain a simple, general, and global relation between the total energy per particle and the trapping potential,

$$E = \left\langle \epsilon \frac{\partial U}{\partial \epsilon} \right\rangle, \quad (3)$$

where the brackets denote a statistical and quantum average with the density operator. Eq. 3 is obviously independent of the energy scale ϵ .

As a simple example, consider a power law trapping potential of the form $U_n = U_0(r/a_{\text{trap}})^n$, where r is the position of an atom of mass m . To obtain normalizable states, we assume a confining potential, where $U_0 > 0$ for $n > 0$ and $U_0 < 0$ for $n < 0$. Let $U_0 = \alpha_1 \epsilon$ and $\hbar^2/(ma_{\text{trap}}^2) = \alpha_2 \epsilon$. Then, $U_n = \epsilon^{1+n/2} \alpha_1 \alpha_2^{n/2} (mr^2/\hbar^2)^{n/2}$. Eq. 3 immediately yields,

$$E = (n/2 + 1) \langle U_n \rangle, \quad (4)$$

which is the standard result.

Now consider an isotropic gaussian trap, for which the single particle trap potential energy is $U_{\text{trap}} = U_0[1 - \exp(-r^2/a_{\text{trap}}^2)]$. Writing the energy scales associated with the trap depth and $1/e$ width as above, we have,

$$U_{\text{trap}} = \alpha_1 \epsilon \left[1 - \exp \left(-\alpha_2 \epsilon \frac{mr^2}{\hbar^2} \right) \right].$$

For small displacements, the trap potential is harmonic: $U_{\text{trap}} = \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \epsilon^2 \frac{mr^2}{\hbar^2} = m\bar{\omega}^2 r^2/2$ with $m\bar{\omega}^2 = 2U_0/a_{\text{trap}}^2$. Eq. 3 immediately yields the virial theorem result, $E/N = \langle m\bar{\omega}^2 r^2 \rangle$. Note that the oscillator energy is $\hbar\bar{\omega} = \sqrt{2\lambda_1\lambda_2}\epsilon$ and therefore introduces no new energy scales.

Applying Eq. 3 to the gaussian potential, and keeping up to lowest order in the anharmonic corrections, we obtain the energy per particle

$$E = \left\langle m\bar{\omega}^2 r^2 - \frac{3}{8} \frac{(m\bar{\omega}^2 r^2)^2}{U_0} \right\rangle.$$

Here the averages are determined experimentally by measuring the cloud profiles for *both* spin states in spin-imbalanced mixtures. This reproduces our previous expression for the anharmonic correction [12], which was obtained assuming the local density approximation with a scalar pressure. For an anisotropic gaussian trapping potential, we simply replace $\bar{\omega}^2 r^2$ by $\omega_x^2 x^2 + \omega_y^2 y^2 + \omega_z^2 z^2$ and use $\bar{\omega} \equiv (\omega_x \omega_y \omega_z)^{1/3}$. The proof goes through unchanged.

For a nearly harmonic trap with cylindrical symmetry in x and y , the energy is readily determined from the two dimensional $x - z$ cloud profile. The energy per particle is

$$E = 2m\omega_x^2 \langle x^2 \rangle + m\omega_z^2 \langle z^2 \rangle. \quad (5)$$

Here we do *not* assume that the axial and transverse contributions are identical, as required in the local density approximation, since the local density approximation may not hold.

In general, the quartic terms for the trapping potential can be measured. This is accomplished by determining the parametric resonance frequencies and the trap average values of $\langle z^4 \rangle$, $\langle x^4 \rangle$, and $\langle z^2 x^2 \rangle$ from the cloud profiles for an ideal Fermi gas tuned near the zero crossing where the scattering length is zero, i.e., near 528 G for ^6Li . For a trap with near cylindrical symmetry, we expect that the total potential has symmetry under $\omega_x x \leftrightarrow \omega_y y$. For a given atom number, the energy is varied by release and recapture as described previously [12]. The dependence of the frequency on the quartic averages of the spatial coordinates, which are determined from the cloud profiles, will provide the appropriate anharmonic corrections to Eq. 5.

This research was supported by the Physics Divisions of the Army Research Office and the National Science Foundation, and the Chemical Sciences, Geosciences and Biosciences Division of the Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Office of Science, U. S. Department of Energy.

-
- [1] G. F. Bertsch, Many-body X Challenge Problem: See R. A. Bishop, Int. J. Mod. Phys. B **15**, iii (2001).
 - [2] G. A. Baker, Jr., Phys. Rev. C **60**, 054311 (1999).
 - [3] H. Heiselberg, Phys. Rev. A **63**, 043606 (2001).
 - [4] J. E. Thomas and M. E. Gehm, Am. Scientist **92**, 238 (2004).
 - [5] W. C. Stwalley, Phys. Rev. Lett. **37**, 1628 (1976).
 - [6] E. Tiesinga, B. J. Verhaar, and H. T. C. Stoof, Phys. Rev. A **47**, 4114 (1993).
 - [7] M. Houbiers, H. T. C. Stoof, W. I. McAlexander, and R. G. Hulet, Phys. Rev. A **57**, R1497 (1998).
 - [8] K. M. O'Hara, S. L. Hemmer, M. E. Gehm, S. R. Granade, and J. E. Thomas, Science **298**, 2179 (2002).
 - [9] T.-L. Ho and E. Mueller, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 160404 (2004).
 - [10] T.-L. Ho, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 090402 (2004).
 - [11] G. M. Bruun, Phys. Rev. A **70**, 053602 (2004).
 - [12] L. Luo, B. Clancy, J. Joseph, J. Kinast, and J. E. Thomas, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 080402 (2007).
 - [13] H. Hu, P. D. Drummond, and X.-J. Liu, Nature Physics **3**, 469 (2007).
 - [14] J. E. Thomas, A. Turlapov, and J. Kinast, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 120402 (2005).
 - [15] T. N. D. Silva and E. J. Mueller, Phys. Rev. A **73**, 051602(R) (2006).
 - [16] T. N. D. Silva and E. J. Mueller, Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 070402(R) (2006).
 - [17] F. Werner and Y. Castin, Phys. Rev. A **74**, 053604 (2006).
 - [18] D. T. Son (2007), arXiv:0707.1851.
 - [19] S. Tan (2008), arXiv:0803.0841[cond-mat.stat-mech].
 - [20] E. Braaten and L. Platter (2008), arXiv:0803.1125[cond-mat.other].
 - [21] Y. Nishida, D. T. Son, and S. Tan, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 090405 (2008).